arXiv:1209.1676v1 [math.RA] 8 Sep 2012

A COPRODUCT STRUCTURE ON THE FORMAL AFFINE DEMAZURE ALGEBRA

KIRILL ZAINOULLINE AND CHANGLONG ZHONG

In the celebrated paper [KK86] Kostant and Kumar introduce and study the notion of a nil Hecke ring R corresponding to the Weyl group action on the weight lattice Λ of a root system. Namely, they define R to be a subring of the twisted group algebra generated by Demazure elements and elements of the polynomial ring $S = S^*(\Lambda)$.

In [HMSZ, §5] their construction was generalized to the context of an arbitrary algebraic oriented cohomology theory and the associated formal group law F leading to the notion of a formal affine Demazure algebra $\mathbf{D}_F$. Here $\mathbf{D}_F$ for the additive formal group law F_a gives the completion of R [HMSZ, Prop. 6.1].

The goal of the present notes is to construct the coproduct structure on $\mathbf{D}_F$, hence, generalizing the coproduct structure provided in [KK86, §4.14] (see also [BR12, §3]). We also show (see Theorem 3.6) that this coproduct is compatible with the coproduct introduced in [CPZ, §7]. The latter one induces the product structure on an oriented algebraic cohomology theory of complete flags.

1. Formal group algebras and Demazure operators

In the present section we recall definitions, notations and basic properties of formal group algebras and formal Demazure operators following [CPZ, §2, §3].

Let F be an one dimensional formal group law over a commutative ring R [LM07, p.4]. Given an integer $m \geq 0$ we use the notation

$$u +_F v = F(u,v), \quad m \cdot_F u := \underbrace{u +_F \cdots +_F u}_{m \text{ times}}, \quad \text{and} \quad (-m) \cdot_F u := -_F(m \cdot_F u),$$

where $-_F u$ denotes the *formal inverse* of u. Following [CPZ, Def. 2.1] let Λ be a free abelian group and let $R[x_\Lambda]$ denote the polynomial ring over R with variables indexed by Λ. Let $\epsilon\colon R[x_\Lambda] \to R$ be the augmentation morphism which maps x_λ to 0 for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Let $R[[x_\Lambda]]$ be the $\ker(\epsilon)$-adic completion of the polynomial ring $R[x_\Lambda]$. Let J_F be the closure of the ideal generated by x_0 and elements of the form $x_{\lambda_1+\lambda_2} - (x_{\lambda_1} +_F x_{\lambda_2})$ for all $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \Lambda$.

We define the *formal group algebra* (or *formal group ring*) to be the quotient [CPZ, Def. 2.4]

$$R[\![\Lambda]\!]_F := R[[x_\Lambda]]/J_F.$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 20C08, 14F43.

Key words and phrases. oriented cohomology, formal group law, Demazure operator.

The first author was supported by the NSERC Discovery grant 385795-2010, NSERC DAS grant 396100-2010 and the Early Researcher Award (Ontario). The second author was supported by the Fields Institute, I.H.E.S., SFB/Transregio 45, University of Mainz and NSERC grant of the first author.

The class of x_λ in $R[\![\Lambda]\!]_F$ will be denoted by the same letter. By definition, $R[\![\Lambda]\!]_F$ is a complete Hausdorff R-algebra with respect to the $\mathcal{I}_F$-adic topology, where $\mathcal{I}_F = \ker \epsilon$ with $\epsilon\colon R[\![\Lambda]\!]_F \to R$ the induced augmentation map. We assume that $\mathcal{I}_F^{-i} = R[\![\Lambda]\!]_F$ if $i \geq 0$. Observe that there is a (non-canonical) R-algebra isomorphism [CPZ, Cor. 2.12]

$$R[[\mathbb{Z}^n]]_F \simeq R[[t_1, \ldots, t_n]], \quad n \geq 1,$$

where the right hand side is independent of F.

Example 1.1. (see [CPZ, Ex. 2.18]) The additive formal group law over R is given by $F_a(u,v) = u+v$. In this case we have an R-algebra isomorphism

$$R[\![\Lambda]\!]_F \simeq \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} S_R^i(\Lambda),$$

where $S_R^i(\Lambda)$ is the i-th symmetric power of Λ over R and the isomorphism is given by $x_\lambda \mapsto \lambda \in S_R^1(\Lambda)$.

Example 1.2. (see [CPZ, Ex. 2.19]) The multiplicative periodic formal group law over R is given by $F_m(u,v) = u+v-\beta uv$, where β is an invertible element in R. Consider the group ring

$$R[\Lambda] := \Big\{ \sum_j a_j e^{\lambda_j} \mid a_j \in R,\ \lambda_j \in \Lambda \Big\}.$$

Let $\mathrm{tr} : R[\Lambda] \to R$ be the trace map, i.e. a R-linear map sending every e^λ to 1. Let $R[\Lambda]^\wedge$ be the completion of $R[\Lambda]$ at $\ker(\mathrm{tr})$. Then we have an R-algebra isomorphism $R[\![\Lambda]\!]_F \simeq R[\Lambda]^\wedge$ induced by $x_\lambda \mapsto \beta^{-1}(1-e^\lambda)$ and $e^\lambda \mapsto (1-\beta x_\lambda) = (1-\beta x_{-\lambda})^{-1}$.

Remark 1.3. Let $\mathtt{h}$ be an *algebraic oriented cohomology theory* as defined in [LM07, Def. 1.1.2] and let F be the associated formal group law. Let Λ be the group of characters of a split torus T. Then the formal group algebra $R[\![\Lambda]\!]_F$ can be viewed as an algebraic substitute of the cohomology ring of the classifying space $\mathtt{h}(BT)$.

Consider a reduced root system as in [De73, §1], i.e. a free $\mathbb{Z}$-module Λ of finite rank, a finite subset Φ of Λ whose elements are called roots, and a map $\Lambda \to \Lambda^\vee$ associating a coroot $\alpha^\vee \in \Lambda^\vee$ to every root $\alpha \in \Phi$, satisfying certain axioms. The reflection map $\lambda \mapsto \lambda - \alpha^\vee(\lambda)\alpha$ is denoted by s_α. Let W denote the Weyl group associated to the reduced root system, i.e. a subgroup of linear automorphisms of Λ generated by the reflections s_α.

Fix a formal group law F over R. Since the Weyl group acts linearly on Λ, it acts by R-algebra automorphisms on $R[\![\Lambda]\!]_F$ via $w(x_\lambda) = x_{w(\lambda)}$ for all $w \in W$ and $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Assume that R is a domain. Following [CPZ, Def. 3.5] we define an R-linear operator Δ_α^F on $R[\![\Lambda]\!]_F$, called the *formal Demazure operator*, by

$$\Delta_\alpha^F(u) := \tfrac{u - s_\alpha(u)}{x_\alpha}, \quad u \in R[\![\Lambda]\!]_F.$$

We fix a set of simple roots $\{\alpha_i\}_{i\in[n]}$ where $[n] = \{1, ..., n\}$ with n the rank of the root system. Let $\{s_i = s_{\alpha_i}\}_{i\in[n]}$ denote the corresponding set of simple reflections in W and let ℓ denote the usual length function on W. Given a sequence $I = (i_1, ..., i_l)$ with $i_j \in [n]$, we define $|I| = l$ and $I^{-1} = (i_l, ..., i_1)$. We define

$$\Delta_I^F = \Delta_{i_1}^F \circ ... \circ \Delta_{i_l}^F, \qquad \text{where } \Delta_i^F := \Delta_{\alpha_i}^F.$$

Let $w = s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_l}$ be a reduced decomposition of $w \in W$ into a product of simple reflections, i.e. $\ell(w) = l$. Then the sequence $I_w := (i_1, \dots, i_l)$ will be called a *reduced sequence* of w.

We will omit the superscript F when the formal group law is clear from the context. We define R-linear operators $B_i^{(j)} : R[\![\Lambda]\!]_F \to R[\![\Lambda]\!]_F$ with $j = -1, 0, 1$ and $i \in [n]$, as

$$B_i^{(-1)} := \Delta_i, \quad B_i^{(0)} := s_i, \quad \text{and} \quad B_i^{(1)} := \text{multiplication by } x_i := x_{\alpha_i}.$$

By definition, $B_i^{(j)}(\mathcal{I}_F^m) \subset \mathcal{I}_F^{m+j}$.

Remark 1.4. Observe that if F is the additive or multiplicative formal group law, then $\Delta_{I_w}^F$ doesn't depend on a choice of the reduced decomposition of w. In this case, it coincides with the classical Demazure operator Δ_w of [De73, §3 and §9]. For other formal group laws, $\Delta_{I_w}^F$ depends on a choice of I_w (see [CPZ, Thm. 3.9]).

Lemma 1.5. *Let $I = (i_1, \dots, i_l)$. Then for any $u, v \in R[\![\Lambda]\!]_F$ we have*

$$\Delta_I(uv) = \sum_{J_1, J_2 \subset I} (-1)^{|J_1 \cap J_2|} p^I_{J_1, J_2} \Delta_{J_1}(u) \Delta_{J_2}(v)$$

where $p^I_{J_1,J_2} = B_{i_1} \circ \ldots \circ B_{i_l}(1) \in \mathcal{I}_F^{|J_1|+|J_2|-|I|}$ with the operators $B_i \colon R[\![\Lambda]\!]_F \to R[\![\Lambda]\!]_F$ defined as follows

$$B_i = \begin{cases} B_i^{(1)} \circ B_i^{(0)}, & \textit{if } i \in J_1 \cap J_2, \\ B_i^{(-1)}, & \textit{if } i \notin J_1 \cup J_2, \\ B_i^{(0)}, & \textit{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. For $l = 1$ and $I = \{i\}$ the formula

$$\Delta_i(uv) = \Delta_i(u)v + u\Delta_i(v) - x_i \Delta_i(u)\Delta_i(v)$$

holds by [CPZ, Prop. 3.8.(4)]. Denoting $I' = (i_2, \dots, i_l)$, we have

$$\Delta_I(uv) = \Delta_{i_1}(\Delta_{I'}(uv)) = \Delta_{i_1}\Big(\sum_{J_1, J_2 \subset I'} (-1)^{|J_1 \cap J_2|} p^{I'}_{J_1,J_2} \Delta_{J_1}(u) \Delta_{J_2}(v) \Big).$$

For fixed $J_1, J_2 \subset I'$, by [CPZ, Prop. 3.8.(4)] we have

$$\Delta_{i_1}\big(p^{I'}_{J_1,J_2}\Delta_{J_1}(u)\Delta_{J_2}(v)\big) = \Delta_{i_1}(p^{I'}_{J_1,J_2})\Delta_{J_1}(u)\Delta_{J_2}(v) + s_{i_1}(p^{I'}_{J_1,J_2})\Delta_{i_1}\big(\Delta_{J_1}(u)\Delta_{J_2}(v)\big),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{i_1}\big(\Delta_{J_1}(u)\Delta_{J_2}(v)\big) \quad = \quad & (\Delta_{i_1} \circ \Delta_{J_1})(u)\Delta_{J_2}(v) + \Delta_{J_1}(u)(\Delta_{i_1} \circ \Delta_{J_2})(v) \\ & - x_{i_1}(\Delta_{i_1} \circ \Delta_{J_1})(u)(\Delta_{i_1} \circ \Delta_{J_2})(v). \end{aligned}$$

Combining the two identities together we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & \Delta_{i_1}\big(p^{I'}_{J_1,J_2}\Delta_{J_1}(u)\Delta_{J_2}(v)\big) \\ = \quad & B_{i_1}^{(-1)}(p^{I'}_{J_1,J_2}) \cdot \Delta_{J_1}(u)\Delta_{J_2}(v) \\ & + B_{i_1}^{(0)}(p^{I'}_{J_1,J_2}) \cdot [(\Delta_{i_1} \circ \Delta_{J_1})(u)\Delta_{J_2}(v) + \Delta_{J_1}(u)(\Delta_{i_1} \circ \Delta_{J_2})(v)] \\ & - (B_{i_1}^{(1)} \circ B_{i_1}^{(0)})(p^{I'}_{J_1,J_2}) \cdot (\Delta_{i_1} \circ \Delta_{J_1})(u)(\Delta_{i_1} \circ \Delta_{J_2})(v). \end{aligned}$$

The formula then follows by induction on l. □

Remark 1.6. For any simple root α we have $s_\alpha(1) = 1$ and $\Delta_\alpha(1) = 0$. Therefore, in $p^I_{J_1,J_2}$, if there is an operator of type $B_j^{(-1)}$ applied on 1 before applying all the operators of type $B_i^{(1)} \circ B_i^{(0)}$, then $p^I_{J_1,J_2} = 0$. In particular, if $J_1 \cap J_2 = \emptyset$ and $J_1 \cup J_2 \neq I$, then $p^I_{J_1,J_2} = 0$.

2. The formal affine Demazure algebra

In the present section we recall the definitions of a twisted formal group algebra and the formal affine Demazure algebra introduced and studied in [HMSZ]. We refer to [HMSZ] for properties and further details.

Following [KK86, §4.1] and [HMSZ, Def. 5.1] let Q denote the field of fractions of $R[\![\Lambda]\!]_F$. The action of the Weyl group W on $R[\![\Lambda]\!]_F$ induces an action by automorphisms on Q. We define the *twisted formal group algebra* to be the smash product $Q_W^F := R[W]\#Q$. In other words, Q_W^F is equal to $R[W] \otimes_R Q$ as a vector space, with multiplication given by

$$(\delta_{w'}\psi')(\delta_w\psi) = \delta_{w'w}w^{-1}(\psi')\psi \;\text{ for all } w, w' \in W \text{ and } \psi, \psi' \in Q$$

(extended by linearity), where δ_w denotes the element in $R[W]$ corresponding to w (so we have $\delta_{w'}\delta_w = \delta_{w'w}$ for $w, w' \in W$). Observe that Q_W^F is a free right Q-module (via right multiplication) with basis $\{\delta_w\}_{w\in W}$. But Q_W^F is not a Q-algebra (but only an R-algebra) as $\delta_1 Q = Q\delta_1$ is not central in Q_W^F.

There is an *anti-involution* $(\text{-})^t$ on the R-algebra Q_W^F given by

$$\delta_w\psi \mapsto \delta_w^t := \delta_{w^{-1}}w(\psi), \quad w \in W,\ \psi \in Q.$$

Observe that $(xq)^t = qx^t$ for $x \in Q_W^F$ and $q \in Q$, so it is not right Q-linear nor left Q-linear.

To simplify our notation we denote δ_1 by 1. Denote the indices α_i and s_i by i, e.g. $x_i := x_{\alpha_i}$ and $\delta_i := \delta_{s_i}$. Denote the index $-\alpha_i$ by $-i$, e.g. $x_{-i} := x_{-\alpha_i}$. We denote the product $a \cdot \frac{1}{\psi} \in Q_W^F$ by $\frac{a}{\psi}$ with $a \in R[W]$ and $\psi \in Q$.

Following [KK86, I_{24}] for each simple root α_i with $i \in [n]$, we define the *Demazure element*

$$X_i^F := \tfrac{1}{x_i}(\delta_{s_i} - \delta_1) = \tfrac{\delta_i}{x_{-i}} - \tfrac{1}{x_i} \in Q_W^F.$$

For each sequence $I = (i_1, ..., i_l)$ from $[n]$, we define $\delta_I = \delta_{s_{i_1}\dots s_{i_l}}$, $X_I := X_{i_1} \cdot \ldots \cdot X_{i_l}$ and $\bar{X}_I := (X_{I^{-1}})^t$, where $I^{-1} = (i_l, ..., i_1)$. Then $\bar{X}_i = X_i^t = \frac{\delta_i - 1}{x_i}$ and $\bar{X}_I = \bar{X}_{i_1} \cdot \ldots \cdot \bar{X}_{i_l}$.

As in [HMSZ, Def. 5.3] we define the *formal affine Demazure algebra* $\mathbf{D}_F$ to be the R-subalgebra of Q_W^F generated by the Demazure elements X_i^F with $i \in [n]$, and elements of the formal group algebra $R[\![\Lambda]\!]_F$ (observe that in the notation of [HMSZ, Def. 5.2] $X_i^F = -\Delta_{\alpha_i}^F$). We will omit the superscript F when the formal group law is clearly understood.

Restricting the anti-involution on $\mathbf{D}_F$ we obtain an anti-isomorphism of R-algebras

$$\mathbf{D}_F \to \mathbf{D}_F^t \;\text{ given by }\; X_I \mapsto X_I^t = \bar{X}_{I^{-1}},$$

where $\mathbf{D}_F^t$ is generated by $\bar{X}_i$ and by elements of $R[\![\Lambda]\!]_F$.

Let $\kappa_i = \frac{1}{x_i} + \frac{1}{x_{-i}}$ for each $i \in [n]$. Then $\kappa_i \in R[\![\Lambda]\!]_F$, and we have [HMSZ, (5.1)]

$$\kappa_i\delta_i = \delta_i\kappa_i \;\text{ and }\; \kappa_i X_i = X_i\kappa_i = -X_i^2. \tag{2.1}$$

For example, for the additive formal group law we obtain the *nilpotence relation* $X_i^2 = 0$ since $\kappa_i = 0$. And for the multiplicative formal group law $F(u,v) = u+v-\beta uv$ we obtain the relation $X_i^2 = -\beta X_i$, since $\kappa_i = \beta$. In particular, if $\beta = 1$ we obtain the *idempotence relation* $(-X_i)^2 = -X_i$.

According to [HMSZ, Lemma 5.5] (cf. [KK86, Prop. 4.2]) for every $\psi \in Q$ and $i \in [n]$ we have

$$\psi X_i = X_i s_i(\psi) - \Delta_{\alpha_i}(\psi) \tag{2.2}$$

where $\Delta_{\alpha_i}(\psi) = \frac{\psi - s_i(\psi)}{x_i} \in Q$ is the formal Demazure operator applied to ψ. Using induction on l we obtain the following general formula (cf. [KK86, Identity I_{26}] and [HMSZ, p.12])

$$\psi X_I = \sum_{J \subset I} X_J \cdot (-1)^{|I|-|J|} \phi_{I,J}(\psi). \tag{2.3}$$

Here $\phi_{I,J}$ is defined to be the composite of operators $B_{i_l} \circ B_{i_{l-1}} \circ \cdots \circ B_{i_1}$, where

$$B_i = \begin{cases} B_i^{(0)}, & \text{if } i \in J, \\ B_i^{(-1)}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Lemma 2.1. *(cf* [KK86, Identity I_{32}]*) For any $I = (i_1, ..., i_l)$ we have*

$$\bar{X}_I = \sum_{J \subset I} (-1)^{|I|-|J|} \tfrac{\delta_J}{q_{I,J}}, \quad \textit{where} \quad q_{I,J} \in \mathcal{I}_F^l \setminus \mathcal{I}_F^{l+1}.$$

Proof. By definition we have

$$\bar{X}_I = \tfrac{\delta_{i_1} - 1}{x_{i_1}} \cdot \tfrac{\delta_{i_2} - 1}{x_{i_2}} \cdot \ldots \cdot \tfrac{\delta_{i_l} - 1}{x_{i_l}}.$$

Expanding this product and collecting the coefficients at δ_J on the right we obtain

$$q_{I,J} = B_{i_l} \circ B_{i_{l-1}} \circ \ldots \circ B_{i_1}(1),$$

where

$$B_i = \begin{cases} B_i^{(1)} \circ B_i^{(0)}, & \text{if } i \in J, \\ B_i^{(1)}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

To finish the proof observe that $q_{I,J} = x_{\lambda_1} x_{\lambda_2} \cdots x_{\lambda_l}$, where $\lambda_j = w_j(\alpha_j)$ for some $w_j \in W$ and simple root α_j. So each λ_j is a root vector and, in particular, it is non-zero. By definition of $R[\![\Lambda]\!]_F$ and $\mathcal{I}_F$ this implies that $q_{I,J} \in \mathcal{I}_F^l \setminus \mathcal{I}_F^{l+1}$. $\square$

3. The coproduct structure

In the present section we define a coproduct structure on the formal affine Demazure algebra $\mathbf{D}_F$ following [KK86, §4.14] (see also [BR12, §3]).

Let $Q_W^F = R[\![\Lambda]\!]_F \# Q$ be the twisted formal group algebra. Consider the tensor product $Q_W^F \otimes_Q Q_W^F$ of right Q-modules, i.e. $\delta_v q \otimes \delta_w = \delta_v \otimes \delta_w q$ for any $q \in Q$. We denote this tensor product by $\otimes^r$. Consider the diagonal map

$$\triangle^r(\delta_w q) := \delta_w q \otimes^r \delta_w = \delta_w \otimes^r \delta_w q$$

for $w \in W$ and $q \in Q$. By definition $\triangle^r$ is right Q-linear and it defines a *coproduct*

$$\triangle^r \colon Q_W^F \to Q_W^F \otimes_Q^r Q_W^F$$

which is coassociative and cocommutative with the counit $\varepsilon^r \colon Q_W^F \to Q$ given by $\varepsilon^r(\delta_w q) = q$.

We introduce an *associative product structure* in $Q_W^F \otimes_Q^r Q_W^F$, denoted by $\odot^r$, as follows:

$$(\delta_{v_1} \otimes^r \delta_{w_1} q_1) \odot^r (\delta_{v_2} \otimes^r \delta_{w_2} q_2) := \delta_{v_2 w_2^{-1} v_1 w_2} \otimes^r \delta_{w_1 w_2} w_2^{-1}(q_1) q_2.$$

In particular, we have

$$(x \otimes^r y) \odot^r (\delta_w \otimes^r \delta_w q) = x \cdot \delta_w \otimes^r y \cdot \delta_w q \tag{3.1}$$

for $x, y \in Q_W^F$, $w \in W$ and $q \in Q$. Moreover, $\triangle^r$ becomes a ring homomorphism.

Proposition 3.1 (cf. Proposition 4.15 [KK86]). *For any $I = (i_1, \ldots, i_l)$ we have*

$$\triangle^r(\bar{X}_I) = \sum_{J_1, J_2 \subset I} (-1)^{c^I_{J_1,J_2}} \bar{X}_{J_1} \otimes^r \bar{X}_{J_2} \tilde{p}^I_{J_1,J_2},$$

where $c^I_{J_1,J_2} = |I| - |J_1 \cup J_2|$. The element $\tilde{p}^I_{J_1,J_2} := p^{I^{-1}}_{J_1^{-1}, J_2^{-1}}$ was defined in Lemma 1.5.

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Lemma 1.5. We use induction on l. If $l = 1$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\triangle^r(\bar{X}_i) &= \triangle^r(\tfrac{\delta_i - 1}{x_i}) = \tfrac{\delta_i}{x_i} \otimes^r \delta_i - \tfrac{1}{x_i} \otimes^r 1 \\
&= \tfrac{\delta_i - 1}{x_i} \otimes^r \tfrac{\delta_i - 1}{x_i} x_i + 1 \otimes^r \tfrac{\delta_i - 1}{x_i} + \tfrac{\delta_i - 1}{x_i} \otimes^r 1 \\
&= \bar{X}_i \otimes^r \bar{X}_i x_i + 1 \otimes^r \bar{X}_i + \bar{X}_i \otimes^r 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Let $I' = (i_1, \ldots, i_{l-1})$. Since $\triangle^r$ is a ring homomorphism, by induction, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\triangle^r(\bar{X}_I) &= \triangle^r(\bar{X}_{I'}) \odot^r \triangle^r(\bar{X}_{i_l}) \\
&= \Big(\sum_{J_1, J_2 \subset I'} (-1)^{c^{I'}_{J_1,J_2}} \bar{X}_{J_1} \otimes^r \bar{X}_{J_2} \tilde{p}^{I'}_{J_1,J_2} \Big) \odot^r \Big(\delta_{i_l} \otimes^r \tfrac{\delta_{i_l}}{x_{i_l}} - 1 \otimes^r \tfrac{1}{x_{i_l}} \Big) \\
&\overset{(3.1)}{=} \sum_{J_1, J_2 \subset I'} (-1)^{c^{I'}_{J_1,J_2}} \Big(\bar{X}_{J_1} \delta_{i_l} \otimes^r \bar{X}_{J_2} \tilde{p}^{I'}_{J_1,J_2} \tfrac{\delta_{i_l}}{x_{i_l}} - \bar{X}_{J_1} \otimes^r \bar{X}_{J_2} \tilde{p}^{I'}_{J_1,J_2} \tfrac{1}{x_{i_l}} \Big) \\
&= \sum_{J_1, J_2 \subset I'} (-1)^{c^{I'}_{J_1,J_2}} \Big(\bar{X}_{J_1} \delta_{i_l} \otimes^r \bar{X}_{J_2} \tfrac{\delta_{i_l}}{x_{i_l}} s_{i_l}(\tilde{p}^{I'}_{J_1,J_2}) - \bar{X}_{J_1} \otimes^r \bar{X}_{J_2} \tfrac{1}{x_{i_l}} \tilde{p}^{I'}_{J_1,J_2} \Big) \\
&= \sum_{J_1, J_2 \subset I'} (-1)^{c^{I'}_{J_1,J_2}} \Big(\bar{X}_{J_1} \tfrac{\delta_{i_l} - 1}{x_{i_l}} \otimes^r \bar{X}_{J_2} \tfrac{\delta_{i_l} - 1}{x_{i_l}} s_{i_l}(\tilde{p}^{I'}_{J_1,J_2}) x_{i_l} \\
&\quad + \bar{X}_{J_1} \otimes^r \bar{X}_{J_2} \tfrac{\delta_{i_l} - 1}{x_{i_l}} s_{i_l}(\tilde{p}^{I'}_{J_1,J_2}) + \bar{X}_{J_1} \tfrac{\delta_{i_l} - 1}{x_{i_l}} \otimes^r \bar{X}_{J_2} s_{i_l}(\tilde{p}^{I'}_{J_1,J_2}) \\
&\quad + \bar{X}_{J_1} \otimes^r \bar{X}_{J_2} \tfrac{s_{i_l}(\tilde{p}^{I'}_{J_1,J_2}) - \tilde{p}^{I'}_{J_1,J_2}}{x_{i_l}} \Big) \\
&= \sum_{J_1, J_2 \subset I'} (-1)^{c^{I'}_{J_1,J_2}} \Big(\bar{X}_{J_1} \bar{X}_{i_l} \otimes^r \bar{X}_{J_2} \bar{X}_{i_l} s_{i_l}(\tilde{p}^{I'}_{J_1,J_2}) x_{i_l} + \bar{X}_{J_1} \otimes^r \bar{X}_{J_2} \bar{X}_{i_l} s_{i_l}(\tilde{p}^{I'}_{J_1,J_2}) \\
&\quad + \bar{X}_{J_1} \bar{X}_{i_l} \otimes^r \bar{X}_{J_2} s_{i_l}(\tilde{p}^{I'}_{J_1,J_2}) - \bar{X}_{J_1} \otimes^r \bar{X}_{J_2} \Delta_{\alpha_{i_l}}(\tilde{p}^{I'}_{J_1,J_2}) \Big).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\tilde{p}^I_{J_1 \cup \{i_l\}, J_2 \cup \{i_l\}} = s_{i_l}(\tilde{p}^{I'}_{J_1,J_2}) x_{i_l}, \quad \tilde{p}^I_{J_1, J_2 \cup \{i_l\}} = \tilde{p}^I_{J_1 \cup \{i_l\}, J_2} = s_{i_l}(\tilde{p}^{I'}_{J_1,J_2})$$

and

$$\tilde{p}^I_{J_1,J_2} = \Delta_{\alpha_{i_l}}(\tilde{p}^{I'}_{J_1,J_2}).$$

So $\tilde{p}^I_{J_1,J_2} = p^{I^{-1}}_{J_1^{-1},J_2^{-1}}$. Moreover, we see that

$$c^I_{J_1\cup i_l, J_2\cup i_l} = c^I_{J_1\cup i_l, J_2} = c^I_{J_1, J_2\cup i_l} = c^{I'}_{J_1,J_2}, \quad \text{and} \quad c^I_{J_1,J_2} = c^{I'}_{J_1,J_2}+1$$

for $J_1, J_2 \subset I'$. The proof is finished. ☐

Corollary 3.2. *The coproduct $\triangle^r$ restricted to $\mathbf{D}^t_F$ defines a right $R[\![\Lambda]\!]_F$-linear coproduct*

$$\triangle^r\colon \mathbf{D}^t_F \to \mathbf{D}^t_F \otimes^r_{R[\![\Lambda]\!]_F} \mathbf{D}^t_F$$

which is coassociative and cocommutative with the counit ε^r.

Proof. The algebra $\mathbf{D}^t_F$ is generated over $R[\![\Lambda]\!]_F$ by $\bar{X}_i$. By Proposition 3.1,

$$\tilde{p}^I_{J_1,J_2} = p^{I^{-1}}_{J_1^{-1},J_2^{-1}} \in \mathcal{I}_F^{|J_1|+|J_2|-|I|} \subseteq R[\![\Lambda]\!]_F,$$

where $\mathcal{I}_F^{-i} = R[\![\Lambda]\!]_F$ for $i \geq 0$. Hence, $\triangle^r$ satisfies the conclusion. ☐

Remark 3.3. In the proof we used only the fact that $\triangle^r$ is a ring homomorphism with respect to the product $\odot^r$ and the property (3.1) of the product $\odot^r$. Observe that a product structure that satisfies these two conditions is not unique.

Consider now the tensor product $Q_W^F \otimes_Q Q_W^F$ of left Q-modules, i.e. $p\delta_w \otimes \delta_w = \delta_w \otimes p\delta_w$ for any $p \in Q$. We denote this tensor product by $\otimes^l$. As before the diagonal map $\triangle^l\colon Q_W^F \to Q_W^F \otimes^l Q_W^F$ given by

$$\triangle^l(p\delta_w) := p\delta_w \otimes^l \delta_w = \delta_w \otimes^l p\delta_w,$$

for $w \in W$ and $p \in Q$, defines a *coproduct*

$$\triangle^l\colon Q_W^F \to Q_W^F \otimes^l_Q Q_W^F$$

which is coassociative and cocommutative with a counit $\varepsilon^l\colon Q_W^F \to Q$ given by $\varepsilon^l(p\delta_w) = p$.

Define an isomorphism $t_\otimes\colon Q_W^F \otimes^r_Q Q_W^F \to Q_W^F \otimes^l_Q Q_W^F$ by

$$(\delta_v \otimes^r \delta_w q)^{t_\otimes} := \delta_v^t \otimes^l (\delta_w q)^t = \delta_{v^{-1}} \otimes^l q\delta_{w^{-1}}.$$

Clearly $t_\otimes^2 = \mathrm{id}$. For any $x, y \in Q_W^F \otimes^l_Q Q_W^F$, we define

$$x \odot^l y = (y^{t_\otimes} \odot^r x^{t_\otimes})^{t_\otimes}.$$

By definition, it defines a product structure on $Q_W^F \otimes^l_Q Q_W^F$ and makes $t_\otimes$ into an anti-isomorphism of rings.

Since both $\triangle^r$ and $\triangle^l$ are diagonal embeddings, by the definition of $t_\otimes$, there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} Q_W^F \arrow[r, "\triangle^r"] \arrow[d, "t"] & Q_W^F \otimes^r_Q Q_W^F \arrow[d, "t_\otimes"] \\ Q_W^F \arrow[r, "\triangle^l"] & Q_W^F \otimes^l_Q Q_W^F, \end{tikzcd} \tag{3.2}$$

i.e., $t_\otimes \circ \triangle^r = \triangle^l \circ t$. Using this diagram, for any $u, v \in Q_W^F$, we have

$$\triangle^l(uv) = (\triangle^r((uv)^t))^{t_\otimes} = (\triangle^r(v^t) \odot^r \triangle^r(u^t))^{t_\otimes} = \triangle^l(u) \odot^l \triangle^l(v).$$

So $\triangle^l$ is a ring homomorphism. Explicitly, we have

$$(p_1\delta_{v_1} \otimes^l \delta_{w_1}) \odot^l (p_2\delta_{v_2} \otimes^l \delta_{w_2}) = w_1(p_2)p_1\delta_{w_1v_2w_1^{-1}v_1} \otimes^l \delta_{w_1w_2}.$$

Corollary 3.4. *The coproduct* $\triangle^l$ *restricted to* $\mathbf{D}_F$ *defines a left* $R[\![\Lambda]\!]_F$*-linear coproduct*

$$\triangle^l \colon \mathbf{D}_F \to \mathbf{D}_F \otimes^l_{R[\![\Lambda]\!]_F} \mathbf{D}_F$$

that is coassociative and cocommutative with the counit ε^l*.*

Proof. Let $I = (i_1, \ldots, i_l)$. If $J_1, J_2 \subset I$, then

$$J_1^{-1}, J_2^{-1} \subset I^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad c^I_{J_1,J_2} = |I| - |J_1 \cup J_2| = c^{I^{-1}}_{J_1^{-1},J_2^{-1}}.$$

By Diagram (3.2) and Proposition 3.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \triangle^l(X_I) &= \triangle^l(\bar{X}^t_{I^{-1}}) = (\triangle^r(\bar{X}_{I^{-1}}))^{t_\otimes} \\ &= \sum_{J_1^{-1}, J_2^{-1} \subset I^{-1}} (-1)^{c^I_{J_1,J_2}} (\bar{X}_{J_1^{-1}} \otimes^r \bar{X}_{J_2^{-1}} \tilde{p}^{I^{-1}}_{J_1^{-1},J_2^{-1}})^{t_\otimes} \\ &= \sum_{J_1,J_2 \subset I} (-1)^{c^I_{J_1,J_2}} \bar{X}^t_{J_1^{-1}} \otimes^l \tilde{p}^{I^{-1}}_{J_1^{-1},J_2^{-1}} \bar{X}^t_{J_2^{-1}} \\ &= \sum_{J_1,J_2 \subset I} (-1)^{c^I_{J_1,J_2}} X_{J_1} \otimes^l p^I_{J_1,J_2} X_{J_2}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $p^I_{J_1,J_2} \in R[\![\Lambda]\!]_F$, so the conclusion follows. ☐

Example 3.5. As a special case of Corollary 3.4, we see that

$$\triangle^l(X_i) = x_i X_i \otimes^l X_i + X_i \otimes^l 1 + 1 \otimes^l X_i.$$

Next, we compare this coproduct with the one defined in [CPZ, §7].

Following [CPZ, Def. 4.5] consider the R-subalgebra $\mathcal{D}(\Lambda)_F$ of $\mathrm{End}_R(R[\![\Lambda]\!]_F)$ generated by Demazure operators Δ_{α_i} and left multiplications by elements of the formal group algebra $R[\![\Lambda]\!]_F$. The augmentation map $\epsilon : R[\![\Lambda]\!]_F \to R$ induces a map of R-modules $\epsilon_* \colon \mathcal{D}(\Lambda)_F \to \epsilon\mathcal{D}(\Lambda)_F$ given by $f \mapsto \epsilon \circ f$.

As in the proof of [CPZ, Thm. 7.3] we define an R-linear coproduct

$$\triangle^\epsilon \colon \epsilon\mathcal{D}(\Lambda)_F \to \epsilon\mathcal{D}(\Lambda)_F \otimes_R \epsilon\mathcal{D}(\Lambda)_F$$

by

$$\triangle^\epsilon(f)(u \otimes v) := f(u \cdot v), \quad f \in \epsilon\mathcal{D}(\Lambda)_F,\ u, v \in R[\![\Lambda]\!]_F.$$

Here the property (which follows from [CPZ, Prop. 3.8])

$$\epsilon\Delta_{\alpha_i}(u \cdot v) = \epsilon\Delta_{\alpha_i}(u) \cdot \epsilon(v) + \epsilon(u) \cdot \epsilon\Delta_{\alpha_i}(v), \quad u, v \in R[\![\Lambda]\!]_F$$

guarantees that the coproduct $\triangle^\epsilon$ is well defined (cf. [CPZ, Lem. 7.1]) and

$$\triangle^\epsilon(\epsilon\Delta_{\alpha_i}) = \epsilon\Delta_{\alpha_i} \otimes \epsilon + \epsilon \otimes \epsilon\Delta_{\alpha_i}.$$

Observe that the twisted formal group algebra Q^F_W acts on Q on the left via

$$\delta_w q_w(q) := w(q_w q), \quad q_w, q \in Q.$$

Moreover, we have

$$X_i(u) = (\tfrac{\delta_i}{x_{-i}} - \tfrac{1}{x_i})(u) = s_i(\tfrac{u}{x_{-i}}) - \tfrac{u}{x_i} = -\Delta_{\alpha_i}(u), \quad u \in R[\![\Lambda]\!]_F.$$

This induces a left action of the formal affine Demazure algebra $\mathbf{D}_F$ on $R[\![\Lambda]\!]_F$ and we obtain a surjective ring homomorphism

$$\phi \colon \mathbf{D}_F \to \mathcal{D}(\Lambda)_F, \quad X_i \mapsto -\Delta_{\alpha_i}.$$

Theorem 3.6. *There is a commutative diagram:*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbf{D}_F \arrow[r, "\triangle^l"] \arrow[d, "\epsilon_*\circ\phi"'] & \mathbf{D}_F \otimes^l_{R[\![\Lambda]\!]_F} \mathbf{D}_F \arrow[d, "\epsilon_*\circ(\phi\otimes\phi)"] \\
\epsilon\mathcal{D}(\Lambda)_F \arrow[r, "\triangle^\epsilon"] & \epsilon\mathcal{D}(\Lambda)_F \otimes_R \epsilon\mathcal{D}(\Lambda)_F .
\end{tikzcd}$$

In other words, the coproduct $\triangle^l$ on $\mathbf{D}_F$ is compatible with the coproduct $\triangle^\epsilon$ on $\epsilon\mathcal{D}(\Lambda)_F$.

Proof. Since $\triangle^l$ is a left $R[\![\Lambda]\!]_F$-linear homomorphism and $\epsilon : R[\![\Lambda]\!]_F \to R$ is a ring homomorphism, it suffices to check commutativity of the diagram on the elements $X_I \in \mathbf{D}_F$ only. For all $u, v \in R[\![\Lambda]\!]_F$ we have

$$\triangle^\epsilon(\epsilon_* \circ \phi(X_I))(u \otimes v) = \epsilon(\phi(X_I)(uv)) = (-1)^{|I|}\epsilon(\Delta_I(uv))$$

By Lemma 1.5 the latter equals to

$$(-1)^{|I|}\epsilon\left(\sum_{J_1,J_2\subset I}(-1)^{|J_1\cap J_2|}p^I_{J_1,J_2}\Delta_{J_1}(u)\Delta_{J_2}(v)\right).$$

On the other hand we have

$$\big(\epsilon_*\circ(\phi\otimes\phi)(\triangle^l(X_I))\big)(u\otimes v) = \epsilon_*\circ(\phi\otimes\phi)[\sum_{J_1,J_2\subset I}(-1)^{c^I_{J_1,J_2}}p^I_{J_1,J_2}X_{J_1}\otimes^l X_{J_2}](u\otimes v)$$

$$= \sum_{J_1,J_2\subset I}(-1)^{c^I_{J_1,J_2}}\epsilon_*\left(p^I_{J_1,J_2}\phi(X_{J_1})\otimes\phi(X_{J_2})(u\otimes v)\right)$$

$$= \sum_{J_1,J_2\subset I}(-1)^{c^I_{J_1,J_2}}\cdot(-1)^{|J_1|+|J_2|}\epsilon[p^I_{J_1,J_2}\Delta_{J_1}(u)\Delta_{J_2}(v)].$$

Since $c^I_{J_1,J_2} = |I| - |J_1\cup J_2| = |I| - |J_1| - |J_2| + |J_1\cap J_2|$, these two expressions coincide. □

References

[BR12] Arkady Berenstein and Edward Richmond, *Littlewood-Richardson coefficients for reflection groups,* Preprint arXiv:1012.1714v5, 2012.

[CPZ] Baptiste Calmès, Victor Petrov and Kirill Zainoulline, *Invariants, torsion indices and oriented cohomology of complete flags,* Preprint arXiv:0905.1341v2, 2010.

[De73] Michel Demazure, *Invariants symétriques entiers des groupes de Weyl et torsion,* Invent. Math. 21:287–301, 1973.

[HMSZ] Alex Hoffmann, José Malagón-López, Alistair Savage, and Kirill Zainoulline, *Formal Hecke algebras and algebraic oriented cohomology theories,* Preprint arXiv:1208.4114, 2012.

[KK86] Bertram Kostant and Shrawan Kumar, *The nil Hecke ring and cohomology of G/P for a Kac-Moody group G^*,* Advances in Math. 62:187–237, 1986.

[LM07] Marc Levine and Fabien Morel, *Algebraic cobordism*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2007.